Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) MCMURRAY, BRIAN L.	
10/720,947		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew T. Piziali	1794	

	Andrew T. Piziali	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee		
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	Will <u>ποι</u> de entered de ΓE below);	cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-9,12,13,15,17,22 and 34-40.</u>	20.00				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,10,11,16,18-20,3</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>23-33 and 41-43</u> .				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Andrew T Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794			

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendment, such that the elastomeric or stretch yarn has an elongation at break of greater than 100%, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant asserts that Lesley fails to teach or suggest yarns having an elongation at break of greater than 100%. Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's argument is not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

The applicant asserts that Lesley fails to teach or suggest a stitch evaded fabric. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Lesley discloses a fabric wherein the front and back bars are patterned exactly as illustrated in Figures 1 and 2 of the current specification (column 5, lines 25-40). Lesley also discloses that a third warp may be floated in (laid-in) the fabric for additional effects (column 4, lines 46-59). Lesley also discloses that the back bar preferably contains a high elastic yarn (column 4, lines 60-67). Considering that the current specification discloses a substantially identical fabric and discloses that when the back bar contains an elastomeric yarn it serves to gather and collapse the fabric in both length and width and aids in the forcing of the middle bar to the outward exterior technical back fabric surface (stitch evasion), it appears Lesley discloses the claimed stitch evaded fabric.